

The Selberg sieve method in the polynomial set

Gintautas BAREIKIS (VU)

e-mail: gintautas.bareikis@maf.vu.lt

By $\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C}$ we denote the sets of natural, integer, real and complex numbers, respectively. Assume $A \subset \mathcal{N}$. By $|A|$ we denote the cardinality of the set A . Let \mathcal{M} means the multiplicative semigroup consisting of the monic polynomials over finite field F_q . In following a, b, e, d, k mean polynomials of \mathcal{M} . Further, suppose that \mathcal{M} admits a degree mapping $\partial : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \cup \{0\}$ satisfying the condition:

$$\partial(ab) = \partial(a) + \partial(b), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{M}.$$

By $\mathcal{M}_m \subset \mathcal{M}, m \in \mathcal{N}$ we denote the set of monic polynomials of degree m . It is known, that

$$|\mathcal{M}_m| = q^m.$$

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be the set of monic irreducible polynomials and $\mathcal{P}_m = \{p \in \mathcal{P}; \partial(p) = m\}$. Each polynomial $f(x) \in \mathcal{M}$ factors uniquely by the degrees of $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $\pi(m; b, a)$ denote the number of primary irreducible polynomials of degree m which are congruent to $a \pmod{b}$. Set

$$\varphi(a) = |\{b \in \mathcal{M}; \partial(a) = \partial(b), (a, b) = 1\}|.$$

For each a we have

$$\varphi(a) = q^{\partial(a)} \prod_{p|a} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{\partial(p)}}\right).$$

Write

$$\mathcal{A} = \{p + 1; p \in \mathcal{P}\}, \quad \mathcal{A}(m) = \{p + 1; p \in \mathcal{P}_m\}, \quad \omega(a) = \sum_{p|a, p \in \mathcal{P}} 1.$$

M. Car [2] has proved the following

Lemma 1 [Theorem A.2]. *Let $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$ and $(a, b) = 1$. Then*

$$\left| \pi(m; b, a) - \frac{1}{\varphi(b)} \cdot \frac{q^m}{m} \right| \leq (1 + \partial(b)) q^{\frac{m}{2}}.$$

Moreover

$$\frac{q^m}{m} - \frac{2q^{m/2}}{m} \leq |A(m)| \leq \frac{q^m}{m}, \quad \text{when } m \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Set

$$A_d(m) = \{a \in A(m); d|a\}.$$

Applying Lemma 1 we obtain

$$|A_d(m)| = \frac{q^m}{m\varphi(d)} + R_m(d),$$

where the remainder term $R_m \leq c(1 + \vartheta(d))(q^m/m)$, with an absolute constant c .

We write $a < b$, $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$ if $\vartheta(a) \leq \vartheta(b)$. Let $a \in \mathcal{M}$ be squarefree and $a \neq 1$. Then a can be written as

$$a = p_1 p_2 \dots p_n, \quad \Delta(a) := p_1 > p_2 > \dots > p_n = \delta(a), \quad \text{here } p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Assume $\delta(1) = 1$. Denote

$$Q(a) = \prod_{p < a} p, \quad Q_r = \prod_{\vartheta(p) \leq r} p.$$

Let $\xi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, $\xi(1) = 1$. The function $\bar{\xi}(d)$ we define using the function ξ by the equality

$$\bar{\xi}(d) = \xi(d/\delta(d)) - \xi(d), \quad \text{if } d \neq 1.$$

Moreover

$$\sum_{\substack{d|a, \\ \Delta(a/d) < \delta(d)}} \bar{\xi}(d) = 1 - \xi(a). \quad (1)$$

Let $h : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$. Applying the relation (1) we obtain

$$\sum_{d|Q(a)} \mu(d)h(d) = \sum_{d|Q(a)} \mu(d)\xi(d)h(d) + \sum_{d|Q(a)} \mu(d)\bar{\xi}(d) \sum_{t|Q(\delta(d))} \mu(t)h(dt), \quad (2)$$

where $\mu(d)$, $d \in \mathcal{M}$, is the Möbus function. Set

$$S(A(m), Q_r) = |\{a \in A(m); (a, Q_r) = 1\}|.$$

Using a sieve equality and the relation (2) we obtain

$$S(A(m), Q_r) = \sum_{d|Q_r} \mu(d)\xi(d)A_d(m) + \sum_{d|Q_r} \mu(d)\bar{\xi}(d)S(A_d(m), Q(\delta(d))).$$

Let $\nu \in (0, 1/2)$. Set $n = \nu m$ and $y = Lr \leq n$, $L \geq 2$. Further, let

$$\beta = \max\{\epsilon L, \beta_1\}, \quad \beta_1 > 1, \quad 0 < \epsilon < 1. \quad (3)$$

In following the magnitudes ν, n, L, y, β are defined as in (3). Denote

$$\xi^\pm(d) = \eta^\pm(p_1)\eta^\pm(p_1p_2) \dots \eta^\pm(p_1p_2 \dots p_k),$$

where

$$\eta^+(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \omega(a) = 2k \text{ or } \omega(a) = 2k - 1 \wedge \beta\delta(\delta(a)) + \vartheta(a) < y, \\ 0, & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

$$\eta^-(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \omega(a) = 2k - 1 \text{ or } \omega(a) = 2k \wedge \beta\delta(\delta(a)) + \vartheta(a) < y, \\ 0, & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

$k \in \mathcal{N}$.

Using the last definitions concerning the functions ξ^\pm , from (2) we obtain the following equalities

$$\overline{\xi^\pm}(d) = \eta^\pm(p_1)\eta^\pm(p_1p_2) \dots \eta^\pm(p_1p_2 \dots p_{(k-1)})(1 - \eta^\pm(p_1 \dots p_k)). \quad (4)$$

Theorem 1. *We have*

$$S(A(m), Q_r) = \frac{q^m}{m} \prod_{p|Q_r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\varphi(p)}\right) \left(1 + O_\epsilon \left(L^{-(1-\epsilon)L} + O\left(\frac{r^2 m^2}{q^{m(1/2-\nu)}}\right)\right)\right)$$

$$= : F(r)(1 + R(\epsilon, m))$$

for each fixed $0 < \epsilon < 1$, $0 < \nu < 0,5$.

Lemma 2 [3, Lemma 3.3]. *We have*

$$\prod_{\vartheta(p) \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{\vartheta(p)}}\right) = \frac{c}{n} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right),$$

where c is absolute constant.

Proof of Theorem 1. Using the definition of the function $\xi^+(d)$ we see that

$$T^+(d) := \mu(d)\overline{\xi^+}(d)S_d(m, P(\delta(d))) \leq 0,$$

when $\omega(d) = 2k - 1$, and $T^+(d) = 0$, when $\omega(d) = 2k$, $k \in \mathcal{N}$. The last inequality and relation (2) yield the inequality

$$|S(A(m), Q_r)| \leq \sum_{d|Q_r} \mu(d)\xi^+(d)|A_d(m)| \leq \frac{q^m}{m} \sum_{d|Q_r} \mu(d)\xi^+(d) \frac{1}{\varphi(d)}$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{d|Q_r, \\ \partial(d) \leq n}} \mu(d)\xi^+(d)R_d(m) =: S_1 + S_2. \quad (5)$$

It is clear, that the first summand of (5) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \frac{q^m}{m} \left(\sum_{d|Q_r} \mu(d)\xi^+(d) \frac{1}{\varphi(d)} - \sum_{\substack{d|Q_r, \\ \partial(d) \geq n}} \mu(d)\xi^+(d) \frac{1}{\varphi(d)} \right) \\ &= \frac{q^m}{m} (S_{11} + S_{12}). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Let $\partial(d) \geq Lr$. We shall show, that under this condition $\xi^+(d) = 0$. If $\omega(d)$ is odd, then validity of the assertion follows from the definition of η^+ . Suppose, that $\omega(d)$ is even. Then $\omega(d/\delta(d))$ is odd, and for this polynomial we have: $\beta\partial(\delta(d/\delta(d))) + \partial(d/\delta(d)) \geq \partial(d) \geq Lr$. So, $\eta^+(d/\delta(d)) = 0$ and from the last equality it follows that $\xi^+(d) = 0$.

We note, that $n \geq Lr$. It is clear that

$$S_{12} = 0. \quad (7)$$

Let us return to the relation (6). Using equality (2) we obtain

$$S_{11} = \prod_{p|Q_r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\varphi(p)} \right) \left\{ 1 - \sum_{d|Q_r} \mu(d)\bar{\xi}^+(d) \prod_{\substack{p|Q_r, \\ p > \delta(d)}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\varphi(p)} \right)^{-1} \right\}. \quad (8)$$

It follows from Lemma 2, that

$$\prod_{\partial(\delta(d)) \leq \partial(p) \leq r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\varphi(p)} \right)^{-1} = \prod_{\partial(\delta(d)) \leq \partial(p) \leq r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^{\partial(p)}} \right)^{-1} = O\left(\frac{r}{\partial(\delta(d))} \right).$$

The last estimation together with the remarks made above allow us to use method of [3, lemma 5.4]. Applying mentioned lemma we obtain, that for each fixed $0 < \epsilon < 1$

$$S_{11} = \prod_{p|Q_r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\varphi(p)} \right) \left(1 + O_\epsilon(L^{\epsilon-1}L) \right). \quad (9)$$

Consider the relation S_2 in (5). Using the equality

$$\prod_{p|Q_r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\varphi(p)} \right)^{-1} = O(r)$$

we obtain that $|S_2| \leq (q^m/m)(mr)^2 q^{(\nu-0.5)m}$.

The last inequality, and the relations (9) and (7) yield the right hand side inequality of Theorem 1, $S(A(m), Q_r) \leq F(r)(1 + R(\epsilon, m))$.

The left hand side inequality we obtain from the relation

$$\begin{aligned} |S(A(m), Q_r)| &= \left| \sum_{d|Q_r} \mu(d)\xi^-(d)|A_d(m)| + \sum_{d|Q_r} \mu(d)\bar{\xi}^-(d)S(A_d(m), P(\delta(d))) \right| \\ &\geq \sum_{d|Q_r} \mu(d)\xi^-(d)|A_d(m)|. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating arguments similar to the ones as in the proof of the inequality (5) we obtain the left hand side inequality of Theorem 1. So $S(A(m), Q_r) \geq F(r)(1 + R(\epsilon, m))$.

Theorem 1 is proved.

Let $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$. We define

$$f_r(a) = \sum_{\substack{p|a, \\ \theta(p) \leq r}} f(p), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, \quad r < m.$$

Further, let $F_i = \{a \in A(m); f_r(a) = x_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $F_i = \bigcup_{\substack{k|Q_r, \\ f(k)=x_i}} E_k$, where $E_k = \{a \in A(m); k|a, (a, Q_r/k) = 1\} =: S(A(m), Q/k)$. For $k \neq l$ we have $E_k \cap E_l = \emptyset$. Define the set measure by $\nu(E_k) = |E_k|/|A(m)|$. Suppose, that $\partial(d) < m\nu - \partial(k)$ and $\partial(d) < \frac{m}{2}\nu$. Let $d|(Q/k)$. Then we have:

$$\left| \{a : \partial(a) = m; kd|a\} \right| = \frac{q^m}{m\varphi(kd)} + O((1+m)q^{m/2}).$$

Using Theorem 1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S(A(m), Q/k) &= \frac{q^m}{m\varphi(k)} \left(\prod_{p|(Q/k)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\varphi(p)} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(1 + O_\epsilon(L^{-(1-\epsilon)L} + (mr)^2 q^{m(\nu-0.5)}) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $r/m = o(1)$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Choosing $L = \ln(m/r)$ we obtain, that $Lr/m = o(1)$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ be fixed number. So, for sufficiently large m we obtain, that there exists $A_0(\epsilon)$, that for each fixed $A > A_0$ and sufficiently large m , the asymptotic inequality is true:

$$\nu(E_k) = \frac{1}{\varphi(k)} \prod_{p|(Q/k)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\varphi(p)} \right) (1 + O(r/m)^A).$$

For $k|Q_r$ setting

$$\mu_k = \frac{1}{\varphi(k)} \prod_{p|(Q/k)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\varphi(p)} \right)$$

we obtain that μ_k approximate the set function $\nu(E_k)$.

Let \mathcal{E} be an algebra, generated by finite unions of the sets $E_k \neq \emptyset$, and \mathcal{F} be a minimal sigma algebra, generated by algebra \mathcal{E} . Suppose, that $E \in \mathcal{E}$. Then $E = \cup_{1 \leq j \leq n} E_{k_j}$, $E_{k_j} \cap E_{k_i} = \emptyset$, $i \neq j$. One can show, that $\mu(\cup_j E_{k_j}) = \sum_j \mu_{k_j}$ and moreover, $\sum_{k|Q_r} \mu_k = 1$. So, the set function μ is probability measure in some probability space. Further, this measure approximates the frequency $\nu(\dots)$. Set

$$\sigma(x) = \left(\sum_{\partial(p) \leq x} \frac{f^2(p)}{\varphi(p)} \right)^{1/2}, \quad \alpha(x) = \sum_{\partial(p) \leq x} \frac{f(p)}{\varphi(p)}.$$

DEFINITION. We shall say that arithmetic function $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ belongs to the class Hp , if there exists a function $r(x) = o(x)$ and $\sigma(r(x)) \sim \sigma(x)$ ($\sigma(x) \rightarrow \infty$), $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Using Theorem 1 we can prove the following

Theorem 2. Suppose, that $f(n)$ is a strongly additive function of the class Hp . Then the set measure

$$\frac{1}{|A(m)|} \sum_{\substack{\partial(p)=m, \\ f(p+1)-\alpha(m) \leq u\sigma(m)}} 1$$

converges weakly to a limiting distribution as $m \rightarrow \infty$, if and only if there exists nondecreasing function $K(u)$ such, that

$$K_m(u) := \frac{1}{\sigma^2(m)} \sum_{\substack{\partial(p) \leq m, \\ f(p) \leq u\sigma(m)}} \frac{f^2(p)}{\varphi(p)} \Rightarrow K(u),$$

and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} K_m(\pm\infty) = K(\pm\infty)$.

References

- [1] G. Bareikis, Kubiliaus nelygybės analogas polinomų pusgrupėje, *Lietuvos Matematikų Draugijos Mokslo Darbai*, T. IV, Vilnius (2000).
- [2] M. Car, Le theoreme de Chen pour $F_q[X]$, *Diss. Math.*, CCXXIII, 95 (1972).
- [3] W.-B. Zhang, Probabilistic number theory in additive arithmetic semigroups I, In: *Analytic Number Theory, Prog. Math.*, 138, 839–885, Birkhauser (1996).

Selbergo rėčio metodas polinomų žiede

G. Bareikis

Tarkime, \mathcal{P} – neredukuojamų polinomų aibė. Straipsnyje nagrinėjamas Selbergo rėčio metodo taikymas aibėje $\{p+1, p \in \mathcal{P}\}$.