

The discounted limit theorems for large deviations

Leonas SAULIS (VGTU, MII), Dovilė DELTUVIENĖ (VGTU)

e-mail: lsaulis@fm.vtu.lt

1. Introduction and summary

Let X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of independent random variables (r.v.) with common distribution function $F(x)$. Let v be a discount factor ($0 < v < 1$). Then we define

$$S_v = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^k X_k, \quad (1.1)$$

which may be interpreted as the present value of a sum of certain periodic and identically distributed payments X_k . We assume that the first three moments of X_k are finite:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \, dF(x) < \infty, & \sigma^2 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^2 \, dF(x) < \infty, \\ \rho &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x - \mu|^3 \, dF(x) < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

Then it is easy to see that the mean and variance of the r.v. S_v are

$$\mathbf{E}S_v = \mu(1 - v)^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{D}S_v = \sigma^2(1 - v^2)^{-1}, \quad (1.3)$$

respectively. It has been shown that the normalized random variable

$$Z_v = \sigma^{-1}(1 - v)^{\frac{1}{2}}(S_v - \mu(1 - v)^{-1}) \quad (1.4)$$

with the mean $\mathbf{E}Z_v = 0$ and variance $\mathbf{D}Z_v = (1 + v)^{-1}$ is asymptotically normal for $v \rightarrow 1$. We denote the distribution function of the r.v. Z_v as $F_v(x)$ and that of the normal distribution with zero mean and variance $(1 + v)^{-1}$ by

$$N_v(x) = \left(\frac{1 + v}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^x \exp\left\{-\frac{1 + v}{2}y^2\right\} y^2 \, dy. \quad (1.5)$$

Hans U. Gerber in [1] has proved the discounted version of the Berry–Esseen theorem: if (1.2) holds, then for all x ,

$$|F_v(x) - N_v(x)| \leq 5.4(\rho/\sigma^3)(1 - v)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.6)$$

We consider the asymptotic behaviour of probability $\mathbf{P}(Z_v \geq x)$ as $x = x_v \rightarrow \infty, v \rightarrow 1$, i.e., we prove large deviation theorems for the r.v. Z_v defined by (1.4) employing the cumulant method when centered moments $\mathbf{E}(X_0 - \mu)^s$ of the r.v. X_0 satisfy the generalized N.S. Bernstein condition: there exist quantities $\gamma \geq 0$ and $K > 0$ such that

$$|\mathbf{E}(X_0 - \mu)^s| \leq (s!)^{1+\gamma} K^{s-2} \sigma^2, \quad s = 3, 4, \dots \tag{B_\gamma}$$

2. The discounted version of large deviations

Denote

$$\Delta_v = \frac{\sigma}{2(K \vee \sigma)}(1 - v)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \Delta_v(\gamma) = c_v(\gamma)\Delta_v^{\frac{1}{1+2\gamma}}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $c_v(\gamma) = (1/6)(\sqrt{2}/(6(1 + v)^{1+\gamma}))^{1/(1+2\gamma)}$ and $a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$. In what follows, let θ with or without index denote some quantity, not always the same, not exceeding 1 in absolute value. Let $[m]$ be the integer part of the number m .

Theorem 1. *Let identically distributed r.v. X_k with $\mathbf{E}X_k = \mu$ and $\sigma^2 = \mathbf{E}(X - \mu)^2, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ satisfy condition (B_γ) . Then, for the distribution function $F_v(x)$ of the r.v. Z_v defined by (1.4) the relations of large deviations*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - F_v(x)}{1 - N_v(x)} &= \exp \{L_\gamma(x)\} \left(1 + \theta_1 f(x) \frac{x + 1}{\Delta_v(\gamma)}\right), \\ \frac{F_v(-x)}{N_v(-x)} &= \exp \{L_\gamma(-x)\} \left(1 + \theta_2 f(x) \frac{x + 1}{\Delta_v(\gamma)}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

are valid in the interval $0 \leq x < \Delta_v(\gamma)$. Here

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{60(1 + (1 + v)\Delta_v^2(\gamma) \exp \{-(1 - x/\Delta_v(\gamma))\sqrt{\Delta_v(\gamma)}\})}{(1 - x/\Delta_v(\gamma))}, \\ L_\gamma(x) &= \sum_{3 \leq k < p} \lambda_k x^k + \theta(x/\Delta_v(\gamma)), \quad p = \begin{cases} (1/\gamma) + 2, & \gamma > 0, \\ \infty, & \gamma = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

The coefficients λ_k are expressed in terms of cumulants of the r.v. Z_v given by the formula $\lambda_k = -b_{k-1}/k$, where b_k are determined recursively from the equations

$$\sum_{r=1}^j \frac{1}{r!} \Gamma_{r+1}(Z_v) \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_r = j} \prod_{i=1}^r b_{j_i} = \begin{cases} 1, & j = 1, \\ 0, & j = 2, 3, \dots \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

In particular,

$$b_1 = \Gamma_2^{-1}(Z_v) = 1 + v, \quad b_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + v)^3 \Gamma_3(Z_v),$$

$$b_3 = -\frac{1}{6}(1+v)^4(\Gamma_4(Z_v) - 3(1+v)\Gamma_3^2(Z_v)),$$

$$b_4 = -\frac{1}{24}(1+v)^5(\Gamma_5(Z_v) - 10(1+v)\Gamma_3(Z_v)\Gamma_4(Z_v) + 15(1+v)^2\Gamma_3^3(Z_v)),$$

where $\Gamma_k(Z_v)$, $k = 2, 3, \dots$, are defined by formula (3.3). For the coefficients λ_k , the estimate

$$|\lambda_k| \leq \frac{2(1+v)}{k} \left(\frac{16(1+v)}{\Delta_v} \right)^{k-2} ((k+1)!)^\gamma, \quad k = 3, 4, \dots \tag{2.5}$$

holds, and therefore

$$L_\gamma(x) \leq \frac{(1+v)x^2}{2} \frac{x}{x + 8\Delta_v(\gamma)}, \quad L_\gamma(-x) \geq -\frac{(1+v)x^3}{3\Delta_v(\gamma)}. \tag{2.6}$$

Theorem 2. Let a r.v. X_k , $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ satisfy condition (B_γ) . Then, for distribution function $F_v(x)$, the relations

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - F_v(x)}{1 - N_v(x)} = 1, \quad \lim_{v \rightarrow 1} \frac{F_v(-x)}{N_v(-x)} = 1 \tag{2.7}$$

hold for $x \geq 0$, $x = o(\Delta_v^\nu)$, where Δ_v is defined by formula (2.1) and $\nu = \nu(\gamma) = (1 + 2 \max\{1, \gamma\})^{-1}$.

In particular, if $\gamma = 0$, then relations (2.7) hold for $x \geq 0$, $x = o((1-v)^{-\frac{1}{6}})$.

Theorem 3. Let a r.v. X_k , $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ satisfy condition (B_γ) . Then for probability $\mathbf{P}(\pm Z_v \geq x)$ of the r.v. Z_v defined by equality (1.4), the estimates

$$\mathbf{P}(\pm Z_v \geq x) \leq \begin{cases} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4H_v}x^2\right\}, & 0 \leq x \leq (H_v^{1+\gamma}\Delta_v)^{1/(1+2\gamma)}, \\ \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4}(x\Delta_v)^{1/(1+\gamma)}\right\}, & x \geq (H_v^{1+\gamma}\Delta_v)^{1/(1+2\gamma)}, \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

are valid with $H_v = 2^{1+\gamma}(1+v+v^2)^{-1}$ and $\Delta_v = \frac{\sigma}{2(K\sqrt{\sigma})}(1-v)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

3. Proof of Theorems 1–3

The theorems are proved by the cumulant method which was proposed by V. Statulevičius and extended by R. Rudzkis, L. Saulis, V. Statulevičius in [3]–[5]. Denote the characteristic function of the r.v. X_k , by $f(t) = \mathbf{E} \exp\{itX_k\}$. Then, recalling that the r.v. S_v was defined by equality (1.1) and taking into consideration that the r.v. X_k , $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ are independent, we obtain the expression of the characteristic function $f_{Z_v}(t)$ of Z_v

$$f_{Z_v}(t) = \mathbf{E} \exp\{itZ_v\} = \mathbf{E} \exp\left\{it\sigma^{-1}(1-v)^{\frac{1}{2}}(S_v - \mu(1-v)^{-1})\right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \exp \left\{ -it\mu\sigma^{-1}(1-v)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \mathbf{E} \exp \left\{ it\sigma^{-1}(1-v)^{\frac{1}{2}} S_v \right\} \\
 &= \exp \left\{ -it\mu\sigma^{-1}(1-v)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} f \left(\sigma^{-1}(1-v)^{\frac{1}{2}} v^k t \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Then

$$\ln f_{Z_v}(t) = -it\mu\sigma^{-1}(1-v)^{-1/2} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \ln f \left(\sigma^{-1}(1-v)^{\frac{1}{2}} v^k t \right). \tag{3.2}$$

The s -th order cumulant of the r.v. Z_v is defined by

$$\Gamma_s(Z_v) : = \frac{1}{i^s} \frac{d^s}{dt^s} \ln f_{Z_v}(t) \Big|_{t=0}, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots \tag{3.3}$$

Next, employing (3.2), we obtain $\Gamma_1(Z_v) = \mathbf{E}Z_v = 0$,

$$\Gamma_s(Z_v) = \left(\frac{(1-v)^{1/2}}{\sigma} \right)^s \frac{1}{1-v^s} \Gamma_s(X_0), \quad s = 2, 3, \dots \tag{3.4}$$

In particular, $\Gamma_2(Z_v) = \mathbf{D}Z_v = (1+v)^{-1}$ and

$$\Gamma_3(Z_v) = \frac{(1-v)^{1/2}}{1+v+v^2} \frac{\Gamma_3(X_0)}{\sigma^3} = \frac{(1-v)^{1/2}}{1+v+v^2} \frac{\mathbf{E}(X_0 - \mu)^3}{\sigma^3}, \dots \tag{3.5}$$

Our next step is to obtain the majorating upper estimates for the s -th order cumulants $\Gamma_s(Z_v)$, $s = 3, 4, \dots$ of the r.v. Z_v .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If for a r.v. $X_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ with $\mathbf{E}X_k = \mu$ and $\sigma^2 = \mathbf{E}(X_k - \mu)^2$ the condition (B_γ) is fulfilled, then*

$$\left| \Gamma_s(Z_v) \right| \leq \frac{1}{1+v+v^2} \frac{(s!)^{1+\gamma}}{\Delta_v^{s-2}}, \quad s = 3, 4, \dots \tag{3.6}$$

where Δ_v is defined by (2.1).

Proof. Making use of Lemma 3.1 in [3] or [4] and noting that $\Gamma_s(X_0 - \mathbf{E}X_0) = \Gamma_s(X_0)$, $s = 2, 3, \dots$, from condition (B_γ) we obtain

$$\left| \Gamma_s(X_0) \right| \leq (s!)^{1+\gamma} (2(K \vee \sigma))^{s-2} \sigma^2, \quad s = 3, 4, \dots \tag{3.7}$$

Now, applying expression (3.4) of cumulants $\Gamma_s(Z_v)$, $s = 3, 4, \dots$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \Gamma_s(Z_v) \right| &\leq \frac{(s!)^{1+\gamma}}{1-v^s} \left(\frac{(1-v)^{1/2}}{\sigma} \right)^s (2(K \vee \sigma))^{s-2} \sigma^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{(1-v)^{s/2} (s!)^{1+\gamma}}{1-v^3} \left(\frac{2(K \vee \sigma)}{\sigma} \right)^{s-2} = \frac{1}{1+v+v^2} \frac{(s!)^{1+\gamma}}{\Delta_v^{s-2}},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where Δ_v is defined by (2.1).

Proof of Theorem 1. First note that $F_v(x) = \mathbf{P}(Z_v < x) = \mathbf{P}(Z_v^* < x_v)$, where $Z_v^* = (\mathbf{D}Z_v)^{-1/2}Z_v = (1 + v)^{1/2}Z_v$ and $x_v = (1 + v)^{1/2}x$. Having in mind that $\mathbf{E}Z_v^* = 0, \mathbf{D}Z_v^* = 1$ and $\Gamma_s(Z_v^*) = (1 + v)^{s/2}\Gamma_s(Z_v), s = 1, 2, \dots$ and applying estimate (3.6), we obtain

$$|\Gamma_s(Z_v^*)| \leq \frac{(1 + v)^{s/2}}{1 + v + v^2} \frac{(s!)^{1+\gamma}}{\Delta_v^{s-2}} \leq \frac{(s!)^{1+\gamma}}{(\Delta_v^*)^{s-2}}, \quad s = 3, 4, \dots, \tag{3.9}$$

where $\Delta_v^* = (1 + v)^{-1/2}\Delta_v$. It is obvious that

$$\Phi(x_v) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{x_v} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} y^2 \right\} dy = N_v(x), \tag{3.10}$$

where $N_v(x)$ is defined by (1.5). Hence, the r.v. $\xi = Z_v^*$ satisfies the condition (S_γ) in Lemma (2.3) (see [3], [4]) as $\Delta = \Delta_v^* = (1 + v)^{-1/2}\Delta_v$. Consequently, basing on this lemma and relations (3.7), (3.8), we arrive at the statement of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. At first note that

$$\Delta_v = \frac{\sigma}{2(K \vee \sigma)} \frac{1}{(1 - v)^{1/2}} \rightarrow \infty, \quad v \rightarrow 1. \tag{3.11}$$

Now, relying on the statement of Theorem 1, we can get convinced that for all $x = o(\Delta_v^\nu)$, where $\nu = \nu(\gamma) = (1 + 2 \max\{1, \gamma\})^{-1}$,

$$\Delta_v^{-1}(\gamma) x = c_v^{-1}(\gamma) o\left(\Delta_v^{2(\gamma - \max\{1, \gamma\}) / ((1+2\gamma)(1+2 \max\{1, \gamma\}))}\right) \rightarrow 0, \tag{3.12}$$

because $\gamma - \max\{1, \gamma\} \leq 0$ for all $\gamma \geq 0$. So, according to Theorem 1 we need to prove that $L_\gamma(x) \rightarrow 0$ for all $x = o(\Delta_v^\nu)$. Recalling expression (2.3) of $L_\gamma(x)$ and making use of estimates (3.5) of the cumulants $\Gamma_s(Z_v)$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_3 x^3| &= \frac{1}{6}(1 + v)^3 |\Gamma_3(Z_v)x^3| \leq \frac{(1 + v)^2 6^\gamma}{\Delta_v} o(\Delta_v^{3\nu}) \\ &= (1 + v)^2 6^\gamma o(\Delta_v^{2(1 - \max\{1, \gamma\}) / (1 + 2 \max\{1, \gamma\})}) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

because $1 - \max\{1, \gamma\} \leq 0$.

Proof of Theorem 3. First, note that $\Gamma_2(Z_v) = \mathbf{D}Z_v = (1 + v)^{-1} < H_v$, where $H_v = 2^{1+\gamma}(1 + v + v^2)^{-1}$. Then, using estimates (3.5) of the s -th order cumulants $\Gamma_s(Z_v), s \geq 3$, of the r.v. Z_v with the mean $\mathbf{E}Z_v = 0$, we obtain

$$|\Gamma_s(Z_v)| \leq \left(\frac{s!}{2}\right)^{1+\gamma} \frac{H_v}{\Delta_v^{s-2}}, \quad s = 2, 3, \dots \tag{3.13}$$

Thus the r.v. $\xi = Z_v$ satisfies condition (2.12) of Lemma 2.4 in [3] or [4] as $H = H_v$ and $\bar{\Delta} = \Delta_v$, where Δ_v is defined by (2.1).

References

- [1] H.U. Gerber, The discounted central limit theorem and its Berry–Esseen analogue, *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **42**, 389–392 (1971).
- [2] R. Bentkus, R. Rudzkiš, On exponential estimates of the distribution of random variables, *Lith. Math. J.*, **20**, 15–30 (1980).
- [3] R. Rudzkiš, L. Saulis, V. Statulevičius, A general lemma of large deviations, *Lith. Math. J.*, **18**, 169–179 (1978).
- [4] L. Saulis, V. Statulevičius, *Limit Theorems for Large Deviations*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, Boston, London (1991).
- [5] L. Saulis, V. Statulevičius, Limit theorems on large deviations, in: *Limit Theorems of Probability Theory*, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (2000), pp. 185–266.

Diskontavimo ribinės teoremos atsižvelgiant į didžiuosius nuokrypius

L. Saulis, D. Deltuvienė

Darbe gautos atitinkamai normuotos sumos $S_v = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^k X_k$, $0 \leq v < 1$ skirstinio didžiųjų nuokrypių teoremos ir eksponentinės nelygybės, kai atsitiktiniai dydžiai X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots tenkina N.S. Bernšteino sąlygą.