

## On limit theorems of Fortet–Kac type

Birutė KRYŽIENĖ (VGTU), Gintautas MISEVIČIUS (VU)

e-mail: gintas.misevicius@mif.vu.lt

**Abstract.** We get the theorem of large deviations for sums of type  $\sum f(T^j t)$  satisfying the conditions weaker than in [5] (see [5, pp. 221–227]).

*Keywords:* two-dimensional torus, large deviations, cumulant.

Let  $T$  be a mapping of  $[0, 1]$  into itself defined by  $T(t) = \{2t\}$  where the braces mean the fractional part of a number. Let the point  $t \in [0, 1]$  be expressed by

$$t = \frac{\varepsilon_1(t)}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_2(t)}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{\varepsilon_k(t)}{2^k} + \dots.$$

Then the coefficients  $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i(t)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , are the so-called Rademacher functions, and are independent identically distributed random variables taking values 0 and 1 with equal probabilities.

This expression for irrational numbers is infinite, numbers  $t \in (0, 1)$  are in a one-to-one correspondence with the sequences  $\varepsilon_1(t), \varepsilon_2(t), \dots$ , and every measurable function  $f(t)$  can be represented in the form

$$f(t) = f(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots).$$

So this function is a random variable, measurable with respect of the  $\sigma$ -algebra, generated by  $\varepsilon_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . The variables  $f_k(T^k t) = f_k = f(2^k t) = f(\varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_{k+1}, \dots)$  form a stationary sequence. For details see [2].

Let  $S_n = S_n(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n f(2^j t)$ ,  $f(t)$  being a measurable periodic function with period 1,  $\Phi(x)$  denote the normal distribution function.

We suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}f(t) &= \int_0^1 f(t) dt = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}|f(t)| \geq \delta > 0, \\ \mathbf{E}|f(t)|^k &= \int_0^1 |f(t)|^k dt \leq H_1 H_2^{k-2} (k-2)! \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 |f(t+h) - f(t)|^2 dt \leq H_3 h^\gamma, \tag{2}$$

$k = 3, 4, \dots, s + 2$ ,  $\sqrt{s} \leq \frac{H_0 \sqrt{n}}{\rho(n) \ln n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Delta_n$ ,  $H_0, H_1, H_2, \dots$  are positive constants,  $c_1, c_2, \dots$  are constants,  $\rho(n)$  is a slowly growing function,

$$B_n^2 = \mathbf{D}S_n = \int_0^1 S_n^2(t) dt = \sigma^2 n + c_1. \quad (3)$$

This paper deals with probabilities of large deviations similar to classical results (see [3,4]) for the above defined sums  $S_n$  with the condition (2) instead of the following condition in [5]:

$$\int_0^1 |f(t+h) - f(t)|^p dt \leq H_3^p h^\delta p!, \quad p = 2, 3, \dots$$

This leads to a narrower zone of validity of large deviations.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $f(t)$  be the above defined Lebesgue measurable function satisfying conditions (1), (2) and  $\sigma \neq 0$ . Then for  $1 \leq x \leq \sqrt{s}$  the following relations of large deviations hold*

$$\frac{\text{meas}_{t \in [0,1]} \{S_n > x B_n\}}{1 - \Phi(x)} = \exp\{L(x)\} \left(1 + \theta_1 f_1(x) \frac{x+1}{\sqrt{s}}\right),$$

$$\frac{\text{meas}_{t \in [0,1]} \{S_n < -x B_n\}}{\Phi(-x)} = \exp\{L(-x)\} \left(1 + \theta_2 f_2(x) \frac{x+1}{\sqrt{s}}\right),$$

where  $f_1(x), f_2(x)$  are bounded functions,  $|\theta_i| \leq 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , the power series  $L(x) = \sum l_k x^{k+3}$  converges for  $|x| < \Delta_n$ , the coefficients  $l_k$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , can be expressed by cumulants, and for  $k \leq s - 3$  these coefficients coincide with coefficients of the classical Cramér–Petrov series.

*Some auxiliary statements.* Following [1] let us introduce the conditional mean values, i.e., new random variables

$$\zeta_j^{(m)} = [f]_j^{(m)} = [f]_j^{(m)}(t) = \mathbf{E}(f(T^j t) | \varepsilon_{j+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{j+m}) \quad (4)$$

and

$$\eta_j^{(m)} = \frac{\varepsilon_{j+1}}{2} + \dots + \frac{\varepsilon_{j+m}}{2^m}. \quad (5)$$

The function  $[f]_j^{(k)}$  is measurable by the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k$ . It is evident that  $\eta_j^{(m)}$  defines a unique set  $\varepsilon_{j+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{j+m}$ , therefore the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by random variables  $\eta_{j_1}^{(m)}, \dots, \eta_{j_k}^{(m)}$  coincides with the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by random variables  $\varepsilon_{j_1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{j_k+m}$ .

**LEMMA 1** (see [5]). *The random variables  $\eta_j^{(m)}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , make the Markov chain. Therefore the random variables  $[f]_j^{(m)}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , are connected into the Markov chain.*

Let  $\mathcal{F}_a^b$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\{\eta_k^{(m)}, k = a, a + 1, \dots, b\}$  and  $\Omega_k$  be the space of  $\eta_k^{(m)}$  values. The coefficients of ergodicity in our case are

$$\alpha_{l,k}^{(m)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k - l \leq m, \\ 1 & \text{for } k - l \geq m + 1, \end{cases}$$

since  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$  are independent identically distributed random variables.

Let us consider the “modified” sum

$$S'_n = \sum_{j=1}^n [f]_j^{(m)}.$$

LEMMA 2. *Under the conditions (1) and (2), the following relations are valid:*

$$B_n^2 = \mathbf{D}S_n = \sigma^2 n + \theta_n, \quad |\theta_n| < c_1, \quad (6)$$

$$B_n'^2 = \mathbf{D}S'_n = \sigma_m^2 n + \theta_m, \quad |\theta_m| < c_2, \quad (7)$$

and

$$|\sigma - \sigma_m| \leq \frac{c_3}{(\sqrt{2})^{m\gamma}}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\sigma^2 = \int_0^1 f^2(t) dt + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(t) f(2^k t) dt \neq 0. \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* Following the reasoning of I.A. Ibragimov [1] we get the estimation

$$\mathbf{E}^{1/2} |\zeta_j - \zeta^{(m)}|^2 \leq c_4 2^{-m\gamma}, \quad (10)$$

and using the reasoning in §2 and §3 of Chapter XVIII in [2], we find

$$\mathbf{D}S_n = n \mathbf{E}\zeta_1^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n (n - j) \mathbf{E}\zeta_1 \zeta_j$$

with the estimation

$$|\mathbf{E}\zeta_1 \zeta_{k+1}| \leq 4 \cdot 2^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}k} c_\gamma \mathbf{E}^{1/2} \zeta_1^2. \quad (11)$$

An analogous estimation takes place for  $\mathbf{D}S'_n$  and  $|\mathbf{E}\zeta_1^{(m)} \zeta_j^{(m)}|$ .

Thus we get

$$\mathbf{D}S_n = n \left( \mathbf{E}\zeta_1^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}\zeta_1 \zeta_j \right) + n \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}\zeta_1 \zeta_j - \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{E}\zeta_1 \zeta_j$$

and the second and third members do not exceed

$$\left| \frac{4 \cdot 2^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} c_{\gamma} \mathbf{E}^{1/2} \zeta_1^2}{2^{\frac{\gamma}{2} n}} \right| \leq c_5 \quad \text{and} \quad c_6 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{4 \cdot 2^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} c_{\gamma} \mathbf{E} \zeta_1^2}{2^{k \frac{\gamma}{2} - 1}} < \infty.$$

Thus we obtain (6), and in a similar manner one can get (7).

Further we get by the Minkovsky inequality

$$|\mathbf{E} \zeta_1^2 - \mathbf{E}(\zeta_1^{(m)})^2| \leq 8c_{\gamma} \mathbf{E} \zeta_1^2 2^{-\frac{\gamma}{2} m},$$

and

$$|\mathbf{E} \zeta_1 \zeta_{k+1} - \mathbf{E} \zeta_1^{(m)} \zeta_{k+1}^{(m)}| \leq \min \left( \frac{8 \cdot 2^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} c_{\gamma} \mathbf{E}^{1/2} \zeta_1^2}{k^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}}, \frac{8 \mathbf{E} \zeta_1^2 c_{\gamma}}{2^{\frac{\gamma}{2} m}} \right).$$

So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma - \sigma_m| &\leq |\mathbf{E} \zeta_1^2 - \mathbf{E} \zeta_1^{(m)2}| + \sum_{j=1}^m |\mathbf{E} \zeta_1 \zeta_j - \mathbf{E} \zeta_1^{(m)} \zeta_j^{(m)}| \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} |\mathbf{E} \zeta_1 \zeta_j - \mathbf{E} \zeta_1^{(m)} \zeta_j^{(m)}| \leq \frac{c_3}{2^{m \frac{\gamma}{2} - 1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_3 = 9(2^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} c_{\gamma} \mathbf{E}^{1/2} \zeta_1^2 + c_{\gamma} \mathbf{E} \zeta_1^2)$ .

Lemma is proved.

LEMMA 3 (see [3]). *Let a random variable  $\zeta$  with  $\mathbf{E} \zeta = 0$  and  $\mathbf{E} \zeta^2 = 1$  satisfy the inequality*

$$\Gamma_k(\zeta) = (k-2)! / \Delta^{k-2}, \quad k = 3, 4, \dots, s+2,$$

where  $s$  is even and  $s \leq 2\Delta^2$ .

Then for  $x$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq \sqrt{s}/3\sqrt{e}$ , the following relation of large deviations is valid:

$$\frac{1 - F_{\zeta}(x)}{1 - \Phi(x)} = \exp \{ \tilde{L}(x) \} \left( 1 + \theta_s f_1(x) \frac{x+1}{\sqrt{s}} \right), \quad x > 0,$$

and a similar one for negative  $x$ .

The power series

$$\tilde{L}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{l}_k x^{k+3}$$

is convergent for  $|x| < \sqrt{2}\Delta/3\sqrt{e}$  and  $f_1(x)$  is a bounded function.

*Proof of Theorem.* Taking in (8)  $m = \frac{\rho(n) \ln n}{\gamma}$  we get

$$|\sigma - \sigma_m| \leq \frac{c_7}{n^{\rho(n)}}.$$

By the conditions (1) and (2), using the Schwartz inequality we get

$$\int_0^1 |f(t+h) - f(t)| dt \leq \left( \int_0^1 |f(t+h) - f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ \times \left( \int_0^1 f(t+h) - f(t) dt \right)^{1/2} \leq h^\alpha 2^k H_5^k k!,$$

and we deduce, using the Hölder and Jensen inequalities, that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{E}|f(T^j t)|^k - \mathbf{E}|\zeta_j^{(m)}|^k| &\leq \frac{c_8}{n^{\rho(n)}}, \\ \mathbf{E}^{1/k}|[f_j]^{(m)}|^k &\leq \mathbf{E}^{1/k}|f(t)|^k + \frac{H_1}{n^{\rho(n)}}, \\ \mathbf{E}|[f]_j^{(m)}| &\leq \tilde{H}_{12} H_{13}^{k-2} k!, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Using (12) it is enough to get the estimate of cumulants of  $S'_n$

$$\left| \Gamma_k \left( \frac{S'_n}{\sigma_m \sqrt{n}} \right) \right| \leq k! H_{14} \left( \frac{H_{15} \rho(n) \ln n}{\sigma_m \sqrt{n}} \right)^{k-2}.$$

Further conclusions coincide with those of [4], and the difference

$$\frac{S_n}{\sigma_m \sqrt{n}} - \frac{S'_n}{\sigma_m \sqrt{n}}$$

can be evaluated following [5] word by word.

Theorem is proved.

### References

1. I.A. Ibrahimov, Central limit theorem for sums of functions of independent random variables and sums of type  $\sum f(t2^k)$ , *Theory of Probabilities and Applications*, **12**(4), 655–665 (1967) (in Russian).
2. I.A. Ibrahimov, Yu.V. Linnik, *Independent and Stationary Connected Variables*, Nauka, Moscow (1965) (in Russian).
3. R. Rudzkis, L. Saulis, V. Statulevičius, A general lemma of probabilities of large deviations, *Liet. mat. rink.*, **18**(2), 99–116 (1978) (in Russian).
4. L. Saulis, V. Statulevičius, *Limit Theorems for Large Deviations*, Mokslas, Vilnius (1989) (in Russian).
5. G. Misevičius, On large deviations in the theorem of Fortet–Kac for unbounded functions, in: *Analytic and Probabilistic Methods in Number Theory, Proceedings of the Third International Conference in Honour of J. Kubilius*, TEV, Vilnius (2001).

### REZIUMĖ

#### **B. Kryžienė, G. Misevičius. Ribinės teoremos Forte–Kaco tipo sumoms**

Darbe įrodyta didžiųjų nuokrypių ribinė teorema sumoms  $\sum f(T^j t)$  su silpnėsiais apribotais periodinei funkcijai  $f(t)$  negu ankstesniuose autorių darbuose (žr. [5]).

*Raktiniai žodžiai:* dvimatis toras, didieji nuokrypiai, semiinvariantai.